	Case 5:21-cv-03080 Document 1	Filed 04/27/21 Page 1 of 29		
1 2	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNS Michael W. Sobol (SBN 194857) Melissa Gardner (SBN 289096)	ΓΕΙΝ, LLP		
3	Ian Bensberg ( <i>pro hac vice pending</i> ) 275 Battery Street, 29 <sup>th</sup> Floor			
4	San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 (415) 956-1000			
5	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNS	ΓΕΙΝ, LLP		
6	Nicholas Diamand ( <i>pro hac vice pending</i> ) ndiamand@lchb.com			
7	Douglas Cuthbertson ( <i>pro hac vice pending</i> ) dcuthbertson@lchb.com			
8	250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor New York, NY 10013 Telephone: 212 255 9500			
9	Telephone: 212.355.9500 Facsimile: 212.355.9592			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Cla	ISS		
11				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14	SAN J	OSE DIVISION		
15		Case No.: 5:21-cv-3080		
16 17	JONATHAN DIAZ and LEWIS BORNMANN, on behalf of themselves	Case No.: 5.21-CV-5080		
17	and all others similarly situated,	COMPLAINT		
19	Plaintiffs,	CLASS ACTION		
20	V.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
21	GOOGLE LLC,			
22	Defendant.			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	2158440.1	СО		

1		
1		TABLE OF CONTENTS
2		Page
3	_	
4	I.	INTRODUCTION
5	II.	PARTIES
6	III. IV.	JURISDICTION
7	IV. V.	GOOGLE'S CONDUCT
-	v.	A. Background: The COVID-19 Pandemic
8		<ul> <li>B. Google's Exposure Notification System</li></ul>
9		C. How GAEN Works
10		<ul> <li>D. GAEN is Supposed to Ensure User Anonymity</li></ul>
11		<ul> <li>E. Google's Implementation of GAEN Exposes COVID-19 Tracing Data</li></ul>
12		F. The Exposed COVID-19 Tracing Data is Personally Identifiable
13		G. Millions of App Users Are Affected by the GAEN Security Breach
14		H. Google Refuses to Satisfactorily Address this Vulnerability
	VI.	THE NAMED PLAINTIFFS' EXPERIENCES
15		A. Plaintiff Lewis Bornmann16
16		B. Plaintiff Jonathan Diaz16
17	VII.	CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS17
18	VIII.	CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
19		FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Invasion of Privacy: Public Disclosure of Private Facts
20		SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
21		Invasion of Privacy: Intrusion Upon Seclusion
22		California Constitution, Article 1, § 1
23		FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF California Confidentiality of Medical Information Act, Cal. Civ. Code
24		§§ 56 et seq
25	IX.	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
26	Х.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
27		
28	2158440.	1 - i - COMPLAINT

## I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

2 Defendant Google LLC ("Google") co-created the Google-Apple Exposure Notification 3 System ("GAEN") to assist state and local authorities deploying apps for mobile devices that 4 conduct COVID-19 "contact-tracing," and implements GAEN in Android smartphones via 5 Google Mobile Services, a collection of Google apps and APIs ("GMS"). Google unequivocally 6 assures that it completely safeguards the sensitive information necessarily involved with COVID-7 19 contact tracing. However, because Google's implementation of GAEN allows this sensitive 8 contact tracing data to be placed on a device's system logs and provides dozens or even hundreds 9 of third parties access to these system logs, Google has exposed GAEN participants' private 10 personal and medical information associated with contact tracing, including notifications to 11 Android device users of their potential exposure to COVID-19.

The GAEN contact tracing system uses signals called "rolling proximity identifiers"
broadcast through the Bluetooth radio on mobile devices that other mobile devices can detect and
record, thereby providing information about proximate encounters with nearby participants.
Google's GMS records both this outgoing and incoming data on each device's system log, such
that Android device users running Google's software unwittingly expose not only their
information to numerous third parties, but also information from unsuspecting GAEN users on
other devices (including non-Android devices, such as iPhones) who come within range of them.

19 The exposed information is personally identifiable. The contact tracing apps themselves 20 generate ostensibly-secure personal device identifiers, which change periodically as they are 21 broadcast to other devices, and should be traceable to the device user only with a "key" held by 22 the public health authorities. But in storage, these identifiers are maintained alongside other 23 device identifiers known as MAC addresses. When this stored data is written to mobile device 24 system logs, it becomes available to third parties with access to the logs. They, alone or in 25 concert, can use the MAC addresses to trace the identifiers back to individual identities, locations, 26 and other identifying attributes, effectively creating an alternative "key" of their own. For those 27 who have reported testing positive, it enables third parties to link that diagnosis back to the 28 particular patient, defeating the purported anonymity Google claims for its service.

#### 2158440.1

# Case 5:21-cv-03080 Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 4 of 29

1	In February 2021, Google was informed of the security flaw in its implementation of			
2	GAEN that caused the data breach alleged herein. To date, Google has failed to inform the public			
3	that participants in GAEN have had their private personal and medical information exposed to			
4	third parties, who in the ordinary course of business may access the system logs from time to			
5	time, or that Google itself may access these logs.			
6	Accordingly, Plaintiffs Jonathan Diaz and Lewis Bornmann, on behalf of themselves and			
7	all others similarly situated, bring this action pursuant to the California Confidentiality of Medical			
8	Information Act and their common law and constitutional privacy rights to obtain a mandatory			
9	public injunction requiring Google to remediate the security flaw in its implementation of the			
10	GAEN system, and for, inter alia, damages and restitution.			
11	II. <u>PARTIES</u>			
12	1. Plaintiff Jonathan Diaz is a citizen and resident of Alameda County, California.			
13	2. Plaintiff Lewis Bornmann is a citizen and resident of Solano County, California.			
14	3. Defendant Google LLC ("Google") is a Delaware Limited Liability Company			
15	based at 1600 Amphitheatre Way, Mountain View, California, whose sole member is XXVI			
16	Holdings Inc. XXVI Holdings Inc. is a corporation incorporated in Delaware with its principal			
17	office in California.			
18	III. JURISDICTION			
19	4. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), the Court has subject matter jurisdiction of Plaintiffs'			
20	state law claims because the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and			
21	costs, and at least one class member is a citizen of a state that is neither Delaware nor California.			
22	IV. <u>INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT</u>			
23	5. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c), assignment to the San Jose Division of this District			
24	is proper because a substantial part of the conduct which gives rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred			
25	in Santa Clara County. Google developed, markets, and deploys its products throughout the			
26	United States, including in Santa Clara County. Additionally, Google is headquartered in			
27	Mountain View, California, which is located within Santa Clara County.			
28				

		Case	5:21-cv-03080 Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 5 of 29
1	V.	COO	DGLE'S CONDUCT
1 2	۷.	<u>600</u>	Background: The COVID-19 Pandemic
3		<b>Б</b> .	In December 2019, a new strain of coronavirus known as SARS-CoV-2 appeared
4	in Ch		
5		7.	SARS-CoV-2 causes a highly infectious disease known as COVID-19.
6		8.	COVID-19 spread swiftly across the globe. The World Health Organization
7	decla		global health emergency on January 20, 2020.
8		9.	One potentially effective tool used by public health authorities to control the
9	sprea	d of inf	ectious diseases like COVID-19 is called contact tracing.
10		10.	In general, contact tracing means identifying everyone who has come into contact
11	with a	an infec	cted person to notify them they may have been infected, observe them for signs of
12	infect	tion, an	d isolate and treat them if they are infected.
13		11.	The contact tracing protocol issued for COVID-19 by the U.S. Centers for Disease
14	Contr	col and	Prevention provides that such notifications should be issued to anyone who has been
15	withi	n 6 feet	of an infected person for at least 15 minutes within the past 14 days. <sup>1</sup>
16		В.	Google's Exposure Notification System
17		12.	In 2020, Google and Apple Inc. developed a system for digital contact tracing
18	using	smartp	phones called the Google-Apple Exposure Notification System ("GAEN").
19		13.	In May 2020, Google implemented GAEN and made it available to public health
20	autho	orities w	vorldwide. <sup>2</sup>
21		14.	GAEN acts a framework or platform on which a public health authority can build a
22	mobi	le conta	act tracing application ("Contact Tracing App" or "App") for use in its jurisdiction. <sup>3</sup>
23			
24	$^{1}$ Ctrs	s. for D	isease Control & Prevention, Contact Tracing for COVID-19
25	https:	//www	.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/php/contact-tracing/contact-tracing-plan/contact- (Feb. 25, 2021).
26		0	ke, An Update on Exposure Notifications, Google (July 31, 2020),
27	_		google/inside-google/company-announcements/update-exposure-notifications.
28		-	<i>posure Notifications</i> , https://www.google.com/covid19/exposurenotifications (last 27, 2021).

1	15.	Google advertises its implementation of GAEN as "[u]sing technology to help		
2	public health	authorities fight COVID-19." <sup>4</sup>		
3	16.	In the United States, public health authorities in Alabama, Arizona, California,		
4	Colorado, Co	nnecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Guam, Hawai'i, Louisiana,		
5	Maryland, M	ichigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Oregon,		
6	Pennsylvania	, Puerto Rico, South Carolina, North Dakota, Wyoming, Utah, Virginia,		
7	Washington,	and Wisconsin have released Contact Tracing Apps that use GAEN. <sup>5</sup>		
8	17.	In the United States, more than 28 million people, residents of each jurisdiction		
9	above, have d	lownloaded Contact Tracing Apps that use GAEN or activated exposure		
10	notifications	on their mobile devices. <sup>6</sup>		
11	18.	California's Contact Tracing App is called CA Notify and was developed by the		
12	California De	epartment of Technology. <sup>7</sup>		
13	19.	Users of Apple devices in California may activate the functionality of CA Notify		
14	on their phon	es without having to download the App. <sup>8</sup>		
15	20.	CA Notify has been downloaded to about 9.5 million mobile devices. <sup>9</sup>		
16	21.	CA Notify has been downloaded to about 8.5 million Apple devices. <sup>10</sup>		
17				
18	<sup>4</sup> <i>Id</i> .			
19 20	Tracing API,	hman, <i>Here Are the Countries Using Google and Apple's COVID-19 Contact</i> XDA (Feb. 25, 2021, 2:27 PM), https://www.xda-developers.com/google-apple-tact-tracing-exposure-notifications-api-app-list-countries.		
21	<sup>6</sup> Lindsey Van Ness, For States' COVID-19 Contact Tracing Apps, Privacy Tops Utility,			
22	Government Technology (Mar. 22, 2021), https://www.govtech.com/health/For-States-COVID- 19-Contact-Tracing-Apps-Privacy-Tops-Utility.html.			
23	<sup>7</sup> Cal., <i>California Can Stop the Spread</i> , https://canotify.ca.gov/ (last visited Apr. 27, 2021); Cal.			
24	Dep't of Technology, <i>CA Notify</i> , https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=gov.ca.covid19.exposurenotifications (Apr. 5,			
25	2021).			
26	<sup>8</sup> Jason Pohl & Dale Kasler, <i>Did You Get a COVID-19 Warning from California's Phone App?</i> <i>Why You Probably Didn't</i> , The Sacramento Bee,			
27	https://www.s <sup>9</sup> <i>Id</i> .	sacbee.com/news/coronavirus/article249875513.html (Mar. 15, 2021, 3:56 PM).		
28		nine times as many people have enrolled in CA Notify on an iPhone").		

	Case 5	5:21-cv-03080 Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 7 of 29		
1	22.	CA Notify has been downloaded to about 1 million Android devices. <sup>11</sup>		
2	C.	How GAEN Works		
3	23.	Contact Tracing Apps that use GAEN work on both devices running Google's		
4	Android oper	ating system and devices running Apple's iOS operating system.		
5	24.	On both operating systems, contact tracing that uses GAEN works as follows:		
6	First, a user a	ctivates contact tracing on their device. For Android users, this requires the		
7	download of	an App offered by their state public health authority. Since fall 2020 it has been		
8	possible for u	sers of Apple devices in participating jurisdictions to activate GAEN on their		
9	phones direct	ly from the device settings, without having to download and install a freestanding		
10	Contact Trac	ing App. <sup>12</sup>		
11	25.	Second, as part of the activation process, GAEN generates a unique, random-		
12	seeming sequ	ence of characters called a Temporary Exposure Key ("Key") for the user. <sup>13</sup>		
13	26.	A new Key is generated once every 24 hours after installation. <sup>14</sup>		
14	27.	Third, the App uses the Key to generate a "rolling proximity identifier key," which		
15	then generate	es a different, unique, random-seeming sequence of characters called a "rolling		
16	proximity ide	entifier" (RPI). <sup>15</sup>		
17				
18				
19	<sup>11</sup> Id.			
20		andom, Apple and Google Announce New Automatic App System to Track COVID		
21	<i>Exposures</i> , The Verge (Sept. 1, 2020, 12:00 PM), https://www.theverge.com/2020/9/1/21410281/apple-google-coronavirus-exposure-notification-			
22	contact-tracing-app-system; Google, <i>Use the COVID-19 Exposure Notifications System on Your Android Phone</i> , https://support.google.com/android/answer/9888358 (last visited Apr. 27, 2021)			
23	("To use the system, you need to download an official app from your region's government public			
24	health authority."). <sup>13</sup> Apple & Google, <i>Exposure Notification: Cryptography Specification</i> 6 (Apr. 23, 2020),			
25	https://blog.google/documents/69/Exposure_Notification _Cryptography_Specification_v1.2.1.pdf [hereinafter <i>Cryptography Specification</i> ].			
26	<sup>14</sup> Apple & Google, <i>Exposure Notification: Bluetooth Specification</i> 3 (Apr. 23, 2020),			
27		oogle/documents/70/Exposure_NotificationBluetooth_Specification_v1.2.2.pdf <i>Bluetooth Specification</i> ].		
28	-	phy Specification, supra note 13, at 6–7.		

# Case 5:21-cv-03080 Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 8 of 29

1	28.	As the user goes about her day, her phone broadcasts the RPI over it	s Bluetooth	
2	radio to other users' phones within range, whose devices receive and record the broadcasted			
3	incoming RPI. <sup>16</sup>			
4	29.	The App generates a new RPI for the user's phone every 15 or 20 m	inutes. <sup>17</sup>	
5	30.	The App records all the RPIs it broadcasts. <sup>18</sup>		
6	31.	As the user goes about her day, her phone broadcasts the identifier k	nown as a	
7	MAC address	(typically, a unique string of characters meant to identify a device on	a network) in	
8	the course of	transmitting her RPIs over its Bluetooth radio to other users' phones w	vithin range,	
9	whose devices	s record the RPIs but also incidentally record the MAC address and as	ssociate the	
10	MAC address	with the RPI. <sup>19</sup>		
11	32.	In general, because Bluetooth transmissions include the transmitting	device's	
12	MAC address	, Bluetooth device MAC addresses are randomized before broadcast,	including with	
13	GAEN, in an effort to prevent a history of the broadcasts by a specific device from being			
14	compiled over time. <sup>20</sup>			
15	33.	Fourth, the user's phone receives any RPIs and randomized MAC ac	ldresses being	
16	broadcast by o	other users' phones within Bluetooth range, <sup>21</sup> which on information a	nd belief, is	
17	approximately	7 30 feet.		
18				
19				
20				
21	<sup>16</sup> Apple & Go	bogle, Privacy-Safe Contact Tracing Using Bluetooth Low Energy 2,		
22	https://blog.google/documents/57/Overview_of_COVID-19_Contact_Tracing_Using_BLE.pdf (last visited Apr. 27, 2021) [hereinafter <i>Overview</i> ]; <i>Bluetooth Specification, supra</i> note 14, at 5;			
23	Apple & Goo	gle, Exposure Notifications: Frequently Asked Questions 3 (Sept. 202	0),	
24		oogleusercontent.com/media/www.google.com/en//covid19/exposure Notification-FAQ-v1.2.pdf [hereinafter FAQ].	enotifications/p	
25	<sup>17</sup> Bluetooth S	pecification, supra note 14, at 3, 8; Overview, supra note 16, at 2.		
26		note 16, at 3–4; <i>Bluetooth Specification, supra</i> note 14, at 5.		
27		hy Specification, supra note 13, at 5; Bluetooth Specification, supra n		
28		hy Specification, supra note 13, at 5; Bluetooth Specification, supra n note 16, at 3–4; Bluetooth Specification, supra note 14, at 6.	ote 14, at 5.	
	<sup>-</sup> FAQ, supra			
	2158440.1	- 6 -	COMPL.AINT	

1	34.	The App records all RPIs and MAC addresses the user receives, as we	ell as the
2	user's distance	ce from any RPI's source (that is, from another user's phone), based on	the signal
3	strength of the Bluetooth transmission. <sup>22</sup>		
4	35.	Fifth, if a GAEN user receives a positive COVID-19 diagnosis, with a	pproval
5	from the loca	l public health authority, the GAEN system will recognize that user's R	PIs as
6	coming from	an at-risk user. <sup>23</sup>	
7	36.	The at-risk users' Keys, which in and of themselves contain no persor	nal
8	information,	are marked as exposed and published for anyone to access, by the public	c health
9	authority.24		
10	37.	Sixth, the App periodically compares the list of exposed Keys to the list	ist of RPIs
11	the user has c	come into contact with. <sup>25</sup>	
12	38.	Anyone in possession of a Key can calculate which RPIs were genera	ted by it and
13	thereby assoc	ciate these RPIs with one source known to be a device belonging to a CO	OVID-19
14	infected indiv	vidual. <sup>26</sup>	
15	39.	If the App determines that the user has come into contact with one or	more RPIs
16	generated by	an exposed Key, the user is alerted that she has potentially been expose	d to the
17	coronavirus. <sup>2</sup>	27	
18	40.	Where GAEN's functionality can be activated without downloading a	freestanding
19	App, its inpu	ts and outputs are handled by the device's native software. When GAEN	I is activated
20	in this way, i	t otherwise functions in the same way as when it is App-activated.	
21			
22	<sup>22</sup> FAO, supr	<i>a</i> note 16, at 7; <i>Bluetooth Specification</i> , <i>supra</i> note 14, at 6.	
23		<i>a</i> note 16, at 3–4, 8.	
24		Specification, supra note 14, at 3; Cryptography Specification, supra no	te 13, at 8;
25	<i>FAQ</i> , <i>supra</i> note 16, at 5. <sup>25</sup> <i>FAQ</i> , <i>supra</i> note 16, at 4.		
26	~ 1	a note 10, at 4. Specification, supra note 14, at 8 ("A user's Rolling Proximity Identifier	changes on
27	average every 15 minutes, and needs the Temporary Exposure Key to be correlated to a contact.").		
28	<sup>27</sup> FAQ, supr	<i>a</i> note 16, at 4.	
	2158440.1	- 7 -	COMPL.AINT

## D. <u>GAEN is Supposed to Ensure User Anonymity</u>

2	41. Through the GAEN system, in theory, the list of RPIs that a user's mobile device
3	sees over time need never leave the device, and users learn from a health authority the set of RPIs
4	that were broadcast by at-risk users, but the identity of those users, and what other users may have
5	also received a broadcast from an at-risk user should remain anonymous. Google represents that
6	GAEN does not share a user's identity; that only public health authorities can use GAEN; and
7	that RPIs never leave a user's phone. <sup>28</sup>
8	42. Maintaining user privacy and anonymity is important for the Apps. Users trusting
9	that GAEN would not disseminate personal information was critical to attracting sufficiently
10	broad participation for the Apps to play a meaningful role in the public health authorities'
11	COVID-19 responses. <sup>29</sup>
12	43. Accordingly, Google has represented GAEN's privacy protections as follows:
13	a. "Doesn't collect personally identifiable information" <sup>30</sup>
14	b. "List of people you've been in contact with never leaves your phone" <sup>31</sup>
15	c. "People who test positive are not identified to other users, Google or
16	Apple" <sup>32</sup>
17	d. "All of the Exposure Notification matching happens on your device." <sup>33</sup>
18	
19	
20	<sup>28</sup> Burke, <i>supra</i> note 2; <i>Overview</i> , <i>supra</i> note 16, at 1.
21	<sup>29</sup> Pohl & Kasler, <i>supra</i> note 8 ("It appears the people most at risk of spreading the disease are not going through the steps that would send an alert [T]he app appears to have so far fallen victim
22	to worries about privacy and the pervasiveness of surveillance technology."); Andrew Sheeler,
23	<i>This App Uses Bluetooth to Tell You If You Have Been Exposed to COVID-19 in California</i> , The Sacramento Bee, https://www.sacbee.com/news/politics-government/capitol-
24	alert/article247671555.html (Dec. 7, 2020, 5:39 PM) ("We value privacy, California has long been a leader in terms of advancing the cause and we don't want to do anything to set that cause
25	back,' Newsom said.").
26	<sup>30</sup> Overview, supra note 16, at 1. <sup>31</sup> Id.
27	$^{32}$ Id.
28	<sup>33</sup> Google, <i>supra</i> note 3.

1	44. Relying on Google's representations, news media have reported about GAEN as
2	follows:
3	a. "Apple and Google say they will create software allowing phones to
4	broadcast unique cryptographically generated codes via Bluetooth. The codes won't include
5	identifying information or location data, and the cryptography is designed to make it impossible
6	to tie the codes to a particular person." <sup>34</sup>
7	b. "Bluetooth-based Covid-19 contact-tracing schemes are designed to upload
8	no data from most users." <sup>35</sup>
9	c. "Apple and Google emphasize that all of the privacy protections No
10	location data is shared and the system does not share your identity with other users, Apple, or
11	Google. All matching is done on-device and users have full control over whether they want to
12	report a positive test." <sup>36</sup>
13	45. For devices running Google's Android operating system, Google designed GAEN
14	in a manner that rendered these representations false.
15	E. <u>Google's Implementation of GAEN Exposes COVID-19 Tracing Data</u>
16	46. Every Android device hosts a "log file" or "system log": a file for logging
16 17	46. Every Android device hosts a "log file" or "system log": a file for logging important device metrics and events that occur during operation.
17	important device metrics and events that occur during operation.
17 18	<ul><li>important device metrics and events that occur during operation.</li><li>47. Smartphone system log files enable application developers, device manufacturers,</li></ul>
17 18 19	<ul> <li>important device metrics and events that occur during operation.</li> <li>47. Smartphone system log files enable application developers, device manufacturers, and/or network providers to obtain necessary data for later analysis, such as to evaluate the</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>important device metrics and events that occur during operation.</li> <li>47. Smartphone system log files enable application developers, device manufacturers, and/or network providers to obtain necessary data for later analysis, such as to evaluate the</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>important device metrics and events that occur during operation.</li> <li>47. Smartphone system log files enable application developers, device manufacturers, and/or network providers to obtain necessary data for later analysis, such as to evaluate the stability and reliability of a given application, connection, or device. As such, the system logs</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>important device metrics and events that occur during operation.</li> <li>47. Smartphone system log files enable application developers, device manufacturers, and/or network providers to obtain necessary data for later analysis, such as to evaluate the stability and reliability of a given application, connection, or device. As such, the system logs</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>important device metrics and events that occur during operation.</li> <li>47. Smartphone system log files enable application developers, device manufacturers, and/or network providers to obtain necessary data for later analysis, such as to evaluate the stability and reliability of a given application, connection, or device. As such, the system logs</li> <li><sup>34</sup> Sidney Fussell &amp; Will Knight, <i>The Apple–Google Contact Tracing Plan Won't Stop Covid Alone</i>, Wired (Apr. 14, 2020, 3:04 PM), https://www.wired.com/story/apple-google-contact-tracing-wont-stop-covid-alone.</li> <li><sup>35</sup> Andy Greenberg, <i>Does Covid-19 Contact Tracing Pose a Privacy Risk? Your Questions</i>,</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>important device metrics and events that occur during operation.</li> <li>47. Smartphone system log files enable application developers, device manufacturers, and/or network providers to obtain necessary data for later analysis, such as to evaluate the stability and reliability of a given application, connection, or device. As such, the system logs</li> <li><sup>34</sup> Sidney Fussell &amp; Will Knight, <i>The Apple–Google Contact Tracing Plan Won't Stop Covid Alone</i>, Wired (Apr. 14, 2020, 3:04 PM), https://www.wired.com/story/apple-google-contact-tracing-wont-stop-covid-alone.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>important device metrics and events that occur during operation.</li> <li>47. Smartphone system log files enable application developers, device manufacturers, and/or network providers to obtain necessary data for later analysis, such as to evaluate the stability and reliability of a given application, connection, or device. As such, the system logs</li> <li><sup>34</sup> Sidney Fussell &amp; Will Knight, <i>The Apple–Google Contact Tracing Plan Won't Stop Covid Alone</i>, Wired (Apr. 14, 2020, 3:04 PM), https://www.wired.com/story/apple-google-contact-tracing-wont-stop-covid-alone.</li> <li><sup>35</sup> Andy Greenberg, <i>Does Covid-19 Contact Tracing Pose a Privacy Risk? Your Questions, Answered</i>, Wired (Apr. 17, 2020, 7:00 AM), https://www.wired.com/story/apple-google-contact-tracing-strengths-weaknesses.</li> <li><sup>36</sup> Chance Miller, <i>Apple Releases iOS 13.7 with New Built-in COVID-19 Exposure Notifications</i></li> </ul>
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>important device metrics and events that occur during operation.</li> <li>47. Smartphone system log files enable application developers, device manufacturers, and/or network providers to obtain necessary data for later analysis, such as to evaluate the stability and reliability of a given application, connection, or device. As such, the system logs</li> <li><sup>34</sup> Sidney Fussell &amp; Will Knight, <i>The Apple–Google Contact Tracing Plan Won't Stop Covid Alone</i>, Wired (Apr. 14, 2020, 3:04 PM), https://www.wired.com/story/apple-google-contact-tracing-wont-stop-covid-alone.</li> <li><sup>35</sup> Andy Greenberg, <i>Does Covid-19 Contact Tracing Pose a Privacy Risk? Your Questions, Answered</i>, Wired (Apr. 17, 2020, 7:00 AM), https://www.wired.com/story/apple-google-contact-tracing-strengths-weaknesses.</li> </ul>

### Case 5:21-cv-03080 Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 12 of 29

1 exist to transmit information in the logs from the phone to be received by the entities with 2 permission to access the logs.

3 48. On smartphones running Google's Android operating system, certain applications 4 "pre-installed" on the device (included with the device purchase) are automatically granted 5 permission to access the system logs, called "READ\_LOGS" permission.

6

49. There are hundreds of such applications.

7 50. Applications with READ LOGS permission include applications developed by 8 Google (the operating system developer), such as the Android Game Optimizing Service; 9 applications developed by Samsung and Motorola (device manufacturers), such as Samsung's 10 "MyGalaxy" music and video streaming service; and applications developed by AT&T, Verizon, 11 or T-Mobile (mobile network operators), such as Verizon's account management app "MyVerizon."<sup>37</sup> 12

13

51. On information and belief, more than one hundred different applications or 14 services that hold READ\_LOGS permission and contain code for executing a command to view 15 the system logs can be installed on Android devices.

16 52. In addition, advertising partners affiliated with entities that have READ LOGS

17 permissions and third-party software have READ\_LOGS permissions in spite of public

18 pronouncements by Google that third parties should not have READ LOGS permissions.

19 53. Smartphone system log files may be transmitted to application developers, device 20 manufacturers, and network providers with READ\_LOGS permissions in the ordinary course of the phones' operation.<sup>38</sup> Google at times accesses, or has accessed, system log files for upload 21 22 which contain COVID-19 contact tracing information.

23

54. Device manufacturer Samsung acknowledges that it collects:

<sup>38</sup> Google, Privacy Security Best Practices, https://source.android.com/security/best-

27 practices/privacy (Sept. 1, 2020) ("Logging data increases the risk of exposure of that data and reduces system performance. Multiple public security incidents have occurred as a result of 28 logging sensitive user data.").

<sup>24</sup> <sup>37</sup> With respect to pre-installed applications generally, see Julien Gamba *et al.*, *An Analysis of* 25 Pre-installed Android Software 4–5, 41st IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (May 7, 2019), available at https://arxiv.org/pdf/1905.02713.pdf. 26

	Case 5:	21-cv-03080 Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 13 of 29	
1 2		information about your device, including MAC address, IP address, <i>log information</i> , device model, hardware model, IMEI	
2 3		number, serial number, subscription information, device settings, connections to other devices, mobile network operator, web	
3 4		browser characteristics, app usage information, sales code, access code, current software version, MNC, subscription information and	
4 5		randomized, non-persistent and resettable device identifiers, such	
6		as Personalized Service ID (or PSID), and advertising IDs, including Google Ad ID[.] <sup>39</sup>	
7	55.	A Samsung-manufactured Android device may have 150 or more pre-ins	talled
8	applications o	or services that hold READ_LOGS permission and contain code for execut	ing a
9	command to v	view the system logs.	
10	56.	A Motorola-manufactured Android device may have 60 or more pre-insta	alled
11	applications o	or services that hold READ_LOGS permission and contain code for execut	ing a
12	command to v	view the system logs.	
13	57.	Mobile network operator Verizon acknowledges that "[s]ome Verizon with	ireless
14	devices includ	de system applications we provide to collect information about network	and
15	device conditi	ions including location, battery life and applications on the device."40	
16	58.	Mobile network operator T-Mobile acknowledges that it "automatically"	collects
17		[d]evice and service performance and diagnostic information,	
18		including reports from your device about signal strength, speeds, app and service performance, dropped calls, call and data failures,	
19		geolocation information, and device data like battery strength and serial number and similar device identifiers, settings, language	
20		preferences, and software versions[.] <sup>41</sup>	
21	59.	System log files may also routinely be transmitted to third parties with	
22	READ_LOGS	S permissions.	
23			
24 25	39 Sameung	Samsung Privacy Policy for the U.S., https://www.samsung.com/us/accoun	t/nriveou
25 25	policy (Jan. 1)	, 2021).	opiivacy-
26 27		et's Take a Look at the Full Verizon Privacy Policy, verizon.com/about/privacy/full-privacy-policy (Apr. 2021).	
28		<i>T-Mobile Privacy Notice</i> , https://www.t-mobile.com/privacy-center/our- acy-policy (Feb. 23, 2021).	
	2158440.1	- 11	OMPL.AINT

# Case 5:21-cv-03080 Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 14 of 29

1	60.	Android devices treat the entities with READ_LOGS permission as privile	ged first	
2	parties with respect to device users, as indicated by Google's public explanation that			
3	READ_LOGS permissions are "[n]ot for use by third-party applications, because Log entries can			
4	contain the us	ser's private information."42		
5	61.	As Google recognizes, because "logs are a shared resource and are available	le to an	
6	application w	vith the READ_LOGS permission," "inappropriate logging of user information	on could	
7	inadvertently	leak user data to other applications."43		
8	62.	In the mobile application development industry, it is a recognized best prac	ctice to	
9	log no more t	than necessary to ensure the application's stability and reliability.44		
10	63.	In the mobile application development industry, it is a recognized best prac	ctice	
11	never to log s	sensitive or personally identifiable information unless the application's basic		
12	functionality	requires it. <sup>45</sup>		
13	64.	Google recognizes and promotes these practices. <sup>46</sup>		
14	65.	Google implements GAEN for Android smartphones via its Google Mobile	e	
15	Services, whi	ich is a collection Google apps and APIs ("GMS"). Google's GMS instructs,	, or has	
16	instructed, the	e GAEN system to log every RPI broadcasted and received by the user's pho	one to	
17	the system logs.			
18	66.	GAEN logs every COVID-19 exposure notification received by a user to the	ne	
19	system logs.			
20	67.	On information and belief, GAEN logs every user's input, and failure to in	put,	
21	positive COV	/ID-19 diagnoses to the system logs.		
22				
23	<sup>42</sup> Google, <i>M</i>	anifest.permission,		
24	https://developer.android.com/reference/android/Manifest.permission#READ_LOGS (Apr. 21, 2021).			
25 26	<sup>43</sup> Google, <i>Se</i> 2020).	curity Tips, https://developer.android.com/training/articles/security-tips (Aug	g. 7,	
27	_	Google, supra note 38; Google, supra note 43.		
28	0	Google, <i>supra</i> note 38; Google, <i>supra</i> note 43.		
	<sup>46</sup> Google, <i>supra</i> note 38; Google, <i>supra</i> note 43.			
	2158440.1	- 12 - сом	IPL.AINT	

68. Even if GAEN does not log COVID-19 diagnoses to the system logs directly, a
 positive COVID-19 test result can be inferred from the RPIs that are written to the system logs,
 because, as discussed *supra*, the Key associated with a positive diagnosis is made publicly
 available. Anyone can access the publicly-disclosed Key and identify which RPIs were generated
 by a device belonging to a COVID-19 infected individual.<sup>47</sup>

6

## F. <u>The Exposed COVID-19 Tracing Data is Personally Identifiable</u>

7 69. The hundreds of applications (and the sophisticated technology companies behind 8 them) with access to system logs can easily associate the data that GAEN logs to the device 9 owner's identity. Device manufacturers, network providers, and application developers 10 commonly already have identifying information about the owners of devices with their apps, or 11 else they have permissions to access information like the phone number associated with a device. 12 Even if they did not, the system logs themselves contain identifying information, including the 13 persistent MAC address associated with the device and the "name" associated with the device 14 (which may contain the user's full name). Other persistent identifiers may also be present in the 15 system logs, such as identifiers associated with specific apps or advertisers. All of this 16 information is available to apps with READ\_LOGS permissions.

17 70. MAC addresses are readily associated with specific locations. For example, an
18 open-source project called Wigle maintains a publicly searchable database associating MAC
19 addresses with specific locations.<sup>48</sup>

Thus, contrary to all reasonable expectations and assurances, COVID-19 exposure
notifications received by an App user with an Android device, and their own ostensibly
anonymous and untraceable report of a positive COVID-19 diagnosis (whether expressly logged
or inferred from RPIs), become immediately identifiable when GAEN writes the information to
the insecure system logs on Android devices. Upon information and belief, this information is
uploaded to numerous third parties and to Google.

26

<sup>28</sup> Wigle, https://wigle.net (last visited Apr. 27, 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> *Bluetooth Specification, supra* note 14, at 8 (explaining that Temporary Exposure Key can "correlate[]" RPIs to a contact).

### Case 5:21-cv-03080 Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 16 of 29

1	72. App users on other devices are also identifiable. The hundreds of third parties
2	with applications that access the system logs can associate the data from other devices that GAEN
3	logs to the owners of those other devices, and can link their RPIs and identities to specific
4	locations. This is because GAEN writes the RPIs received by Android devices to the system logs
5	together with, and directly associated with, the randomized MAC address broadcast by the
6	originating device. Because GAEN logs the randomized MAC addresses and the corresponding
7	RPIs together, the data are formally linked in any collection of the logs.
8	73. Randomized MAC addresses, like persistent MAC addresses, can be associated

9 with specific locations.<sup>49</sup>

10 74. Moreover, the randomized MAC addresses associated with a particular user's 11 device are broadcast not just for the Contact Tracing App's purposes, but for all purposes, 12 including Bluetooth device discovery, Bluetooth device usage, and reception by fixed Bluetooth beacons of known location.<sup>50</sup> As such, randomized MAC addresses are routinely made available 13 14 to entities with an interest in data aggregation. With the benefit of large data sets, these entities 15 can determine identity from randomized MAC addresses and thus it is possible they can link to 16 specific individuals and specific locations the RPIs and other contact tracing information logged 17 by GAEN.

Thus, contrary to all reasonable expectations and assurances, any Contact Tracing
App user's ostensibly anonymous report of a positive COVID-19 diagnosis can be inferred from
RPIs that were supposed to be untraceable, and associated with their identity, and location, if they
came, at any time, within Bluetooth range of an App user with an Android device.

22

23

76. No aspect of GAEN's functionality requires any of this data to be written to the system logs.

<sup>49</sup> See generally Jeremy Martin *et al.*, A Study of MAC Address Randomization in Mobile Devices
 and When it Fails (Mar. 31, 2017), available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.02874.

<sup>50</sup> Sara Morrison, *Why You See Online Ads for Stuff You Buy in the Real World*, Vox (Jan. 29, 2020, 1:24 PM), https://www.vox.com/recode/2019/12/19/21011527/retail-tracking-apps-wifi-

- <sup>27</sup> bluetooth-facebook-ads; Ashkan Soltani, *Privacy Trade-offs in Retail Tracking*, Fed. Trade Comm'n (Apr. 30, 2015, 11:59 AM), https://www.ftc.gov/news-
- 28 events/blogs/techftc/2015/04/privacy-trade-offs-retail-tracking.

G.

## Millions of App Users Are Affected by the GAEN Security Breach

2 77. There is no reasonable way for App users to avoid having their personal medical
3 information exposed by the security vulnerabilities that Google designed for GAEN.

78. A representative Android user's experience with GAEN looks as follows: The user 4 downloads and installs or has downloaded a Contact Tracing App on her Samsung Galaxy S10 5 phone, which came installed with numerous applications, including Facebook, Samsung Pay, 6 Galaxy Store, and Google Chrome apps preinstalled when she bought the phone. Throughout the 7 user's day, the App continuously broadcast the RPIs associated with her device, and recorded 8 9 RPIs received from other App users who come within Bluetooth range. If the user tests positive for COVID-19, that deeply personal information is entered into the GAEN system. The user 10 believes that her medical information "stays on her device," and that her RPIs cannot be 11 associated with her identity because she has been told so by Google, her public health authority, 12 and the news media. Unbeknownst to her, however, this sensitive data is uploaded by Google, 13 and, on information and belief, by Samsung and dozens of other preinstalled software developers, 14 where it is available to be used to determine, among other things, which other users of GAEN the 15 user has come into contact with, where she has been, and the fact that she has tested positive for 16 COVID-19. 17

79. A representative Apple iPhone user will also indirectly interact with Google's 18 19 implementation of GAEN as follows: The user activates GAEN's functionality by navigating to "Settings" on her iPhone11, and clicking a hyperlink that states "Turn On Exposure 20 Notifications." Over the course of her day, she passes by city buses, office buildings, and grocery 21 stores wherein Android device users within Bluetooth range receive RPIs transmitted by her 22 iPhone. Like the Android user, the Apple user believes that the RPIs communicated by GAEN 23 cannot be associated with her identity, and that her potential COVID-19 exposure and status will 24 not be shared without permission. Unbeknownst to her, however, the RPIs her phone transmits 25 are being logged with identifying information by Android devices running GAEN, from which it 26 is communicated to Google and perhaps dozens of other third parties. 27

#### H. **Google Refuses to Satisfactorily Address this Vulnerability**

80. No later than in or about mid-February 2021, Google became aware that COVID-2 19 contact tracing information had been written to GMS system logs and thus became exposed to 3 4 any entity having access to those logs. To date, Google has failed to inform the general public or provide widespread notice to GAEN participants of this data security flaw. In or about the third 5 week of April 2021, Google indirectly confirmed the existence of the security flaw by 6 acknowledging that in late March 2021, it began to address the security flaw by rolling out patch 7 fixes. Google continues to keep the general public uninformed about the security flaw and as a 8 9 result the extent and efficacy of any supposed fixes are unknown to Plaintiffs. VI. THE NAMED PLAINTIFFS' EXPERIENCES 10 11 A. **Plaintiff Lewis Bornmann** 81. The CA Notify App was downloaded and installed by approximately December 12 2020 on Plaintiff Bornmann's Android device manufactured by Motorola on T-Mobile's mobile 13 network, and all system settings required for CA Notify to function on his device were enabled. 14 82. In the interest of preserving his medical privacy, Plaintiff Bornmann does not here 15 recite his COVID-19 status, but states that if he had been positively diagnosed with COVID-19, 16 he would have entered his diagnosis into the CA Notify App. 17 83. If Plaintiff Bornmann had learned what he now knows about the security of 18 19 information transmitted by Google's System through CA Notify, he would not have downloaded the app or used it the way he did. 20 84. On information and belief, system log files from Plaintiff Bornmann's phone have 21 been, and continue to be, received and read by third parties, including Motorola and T-Mobile. 22 85. On information and belief, system log files from Plaintiffs Bornmann's phone 23 have been, and continue to be, received and read by Google. 24 25 **B**. **<u>Plaintiff Jonathan Diaz</u>** 86. The CA Notify App was downloaded and installed by approximately December 26 2020 on Plaintiff Diaz's Android device manufactured by Samsung on Verizon's mobile network, 27 and all system settings required for CA Notify to function on his device were enabled. 28

1	87.	In the interest of preserving his medical privacy, Plaintiff Diaz does not here recite				
2	his COVID-19 status, but states that if he had been positively diagnosed with COVID-19, he					
3	would have entered his diagnosis into the CA Notify App.					
4	88.	If Plaintiff Diaz had learned what he now knows about the security of information				
5	transmitted by Google's System through CA Notify, he would not have downloaded the app or					
6	used it the wa	ay he did.				
7	89.	On information and belief, system log files from Plaintiff Diaz's phone have been				
8	received and	read by third parties, including Samsung and Verizon.				
9	90.	On information and belief, system log files from Plaintiff Diaz's phone have been,				
10	and continue	to be, received and read by third parties, including Motorola, Samsung, T-Mobile,				
11	and Verizon.					
12	91.	On information and belief, system log files from Plaintiffs Diaz's phone have				
13	been, and continue to be, received and read by Google.					
14	92.	Plaintiffs have suffered avoidable invasions of privacy, violations of their dignitary				
15	rights, and other significant damages as a result of Google's conduct.					
16	VII. <u>CLAS</u>	SS ACTION ALLEGATIONS				
17	93.	Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of the following Class and Subclasses:				
18		Class: All natural persons in the United States who downloaded or				
19		activated a contact tracing app incorporating the Google-Apple Exposure Notification System on their mobile device.				
20		California Subclass: All natural persons in California who are				
21		members of the Class.				
22	94.	Excluded from the Class and Subclasses are Google, its current employees,				
23	coconspirators, officers, directors, legal representatives, heirs, successors and wholly or partly					
24	owned subsidiaries or affiliated companies; the undersigned counsel for Plaintiffs and their					
25	employees; a	nd the Judge and court staff to whom this case is assigned.				
26	95.	The prerequisites to maintaining this action as a class action under Federal Rule of				
27	Civil Procedu	ure 23(a) are satisfied.				
28		a. <u>Numerosity:</u> Joinder of all Class Members is impracticable because the				

# Case 5:21-cv-03080 Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 20 of 29

1	Nationwide and California Classes each encompass millions of individuals, dispersed throughout					
2	the United States and California, respectively.					
3	b. <u>Commonality:</u> There are questions of law and fact common to all Plaintiffs					
4	and Class Members, including whether and to what extent:					
5	i. Log files containing data created by GAEN from Plaintiffs' and					
6	Class Members' mobile devices have been and will be received and read by Google;					
7	ii. Log files containing data created by GAEN from Plaintiffs' and					
8	Class Members' mobile devices have been and will be received and read by third parties;					
9	iii. Google made assurances data created by GAEN would not leave					
10	users' mobile devices;					
11	iv. Google acted negligently or knowingly;					
12	v. Google's uniform conduct toward each Plaintiff and Class Member					
13	violated their statutory, common law, and constitutional rights; and					
14	vi. Google should be enjoined from disclosing Plaintiffs' and Class					
15	Members' information.					
16	c. <u>Typicality:</u> Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the other Class Members'					
17	because all Class Members were comparably injured through Google's uniform misconduct as					
18	described above. Plaintiffs advance the same claims on the same legal theories based on the same					
19	facts on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all Class Members.					
20	d. <u>Adequacy:</u> Plaintiffs are adequate representatives because their interests do					
21	not conflict with the other Class Members'; Plaintiffs have retained counsel competent and					
22	experienced in complex class action litigation; and Plaintiffs intend to prosecute this action					
23	vigorously.					
24	96. The prerequisite to maintaining this action as a class action under Federal Rule of					
25	Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) is satisfied because in designing, maintaining, and promoting GAEN					
26	Google has acted on grounds that apply generally to both Classes.					
27	97. The prerequisites to maintaining this action as a class action under Federal Rule of					
28	Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) are satisfied.					

1	a. <u>Predominance:</u> The questions of law and fact common to Class Members			
2	predominate over any questions affecting only individual members because Google treated each			
3	Plaintiff and Class Member identically in material respects, and most Plaintiffs and Class			
4	Members suffered substantially similar injuries.			
5	b. <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to any other available means for			
6	adjudicating this controversy because the damages suffered by Plaintiffs and Class Members are			
7	relatively small compared to the burden of individually litigating their claims against Google, so			
8	it would be virtually impossible for the Class Members to seek redress individually. Even if Class			
9	Members could afford it, individual litigation would overwhelm the court system.			
10	VIII. <u>CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u>			
11	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
12	Invasion of Privacy: Public Disclosure of Private Facts			
13	98. Plaintiffs bring this claim on behalf of themselves and the Class.			
14	99. The personal and medical information that Google publicized may be personally			
15	identified and includes information about whether they have been exposed to COVID-19, their			
16	proximity to other persons and locations over time, and other information from which it can be			
17	inferred whether Plaintiffs and Class Members have tested positive for COVID-19.			
18	100. The personal and medical information that Google publicized includes personally			
19	identifiable information about whether Plaintiffs and Class Members have tested positive for			
20	COVID-19; whether they have been exposed to COVID-19; and information about their			
21	proximity to other persons and locations over time.			
22	101. By virtue of exposing Plaintiffs' and Class Members' personal and medical			
23	information to potentially hundreds of third party entities, Google allowed that information to			
24	escape unfettered into cyberspace, thereby making it available to a number of people so			
25	substantial that it is substantially certain to become knowledge readily accessible to the public.			
26	102. A reasonable person in the position of Plaintiffs and Class Members would			
27	consider the publicity highly offensive, including because their personal and medical information			
28	is inherently sensitive, and because the context and circumstances under which the information			
	- 19 - COMPL.AINT			

#### Case 5:21-cv-03080 Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 22 of 29

was generated—including in the context of assurances of anonymity and nondisclosure—were
 inherently private and non-public.

103. There is no legitimate public concern, nor is there any substantial connection to a
legitimate public concern, in having Plaintiffs' and Class Members' personal and medical
information made generally available to Google or third party data or technology entities. There
is only a public concern in keeping such information private in order that it can serve the public
interest.

8 104. Google knew, or acted with reckless disregard of the fact that, a reasonable person
9 in Plaintiffs' and Class Members' position would consider Plaintiffs' and Class Members'
10 personal and medical information private and non-public, demonstrated by the widespread public
11 expectation, acknowledged and encouraged by Google, that the information would not be
12 disclosed.

13 105. Google knew, or acted with reckless disregard of the fact, that a reasonable person
14 in the position of Plaintiffs and Class Members would consider the publicity highly offensive,
15 demonstrated by the widespread public expectation, acknowledged and encouraged by Google,
16 that the information would not be disclosed.

17 106. As a proximate result of such unauthorized disclosures, Plaintiffs and Class
18 Members were harmed because their reasonable expectations of privacy in their personal and
19 medical information was unduly frustrated and thwarted. Google's conduct amounted to a serious
20 invasion of Plaintiffs' and Class Members' protected privacy interests.

21 107. In failing to secure Plaintiffs' and Class Members' personal and Medical
22 Information, and in generating and disclosing Plaintiffs' and Class Members' personal and
23 medical information, Google acted with malice and oppression and in conscious disregard of
24 Plaintiffs' and Class Members' rights to have such information kept confidential and private.
25 108. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief on behalf of the Class, restitution, and all other

26 damages available under this cause of action.

- 27
- 28

1	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF					
2	Invasion of Privacy: Intrusion Upon Seclusion					
3	109. Plaintiffs bring this claim on behalf of themselves and the Class.					
4	110. Plaintiffs and Class Members have a reasonable expectation of privacy in the					
5	personal and medical information that Google disclosed and shared without authorization,					
6	demonstrated by the widespread public expectation, acknowledged and encouraged by Google,					
7	that the information would not be disclosed.					
8	111. When Google wrote Plaintiffs' and Class Members' personal and medical					
9	information to a location where it was not secure, and disclosed the information to unauthorized					
10	persons for unauthorized use, Google invaded Plaintiffs' and Class Members' privacy by, inter					
11	alia:					
12	a. committing intrusions into Plaintiffs' and Class Members' medical and					
13	other private affairs that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person, especially considering					
14	the risks to Plaintiffs, Class Members, and society at large that could result from reckless					
15	disclosure;					
16	b. committing intrusions into Plaintiffs' and Class Members' medical and					
17	other private affairs in a manner that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person, especially					
18	considering assurances made and endorsed by Google with respect to the data at issue;					
19	c. accessing private facts concerning Plaintiffs and Class Members without					
20	authorization and in contravention of Plaintiffs' and Class Members' reasonable and well-					
21	founded expectations; and					
22	d. making available to a large number of third parties private facts concerning					
23	Plaintiffs and Class Members without authorization and in contravention of Plaintiffs' and Class					
24	Members' reasonable and well-founded expectations.					
25	112. Google knew, or acted with reckless disregard of the fact that, a reasonable person					
26	in Plaintiffs' and Class Members' position would consider Google's actions highly offensive.					
27	113. Google knew, or acted with reckless disregard of the fact that, a reasonable person					
28	in Plaintiffs' and Class Members' position would consider Plaintiffs' and Class Members'					

# Case 5:21-cv-03080 Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 24 of 29

1	personal and medical information private and non-public, demonstrated by the widespread public
2	expectation, acknowledged and encouraged by Google, that the information would not be
3	disclosed.
4	114. Google intruded upon Plaintiffs' and California Class Members' sensitive and
5	confidential information in a manner sufficiently serious in nature, scope, and actual or potential
6	impact to constitute an egregious breach of the social norms underlying the privacy right.
7	115. As a proximate result of such unauthorized disclosures, Plaintiffs' and Class
8	Members' reasonable expectations of privacy in their personal and medical information was
9	unduly frustrated and thwarted. Google's conduct amounted to a serious invasion of Plaintiffs'
10	and Class Members' protected privacy interests.
11	116. In failing to protect Plaintiffs' and Class Members' personal and medical
12	information, and in disclosing Plaintiffs' and Class Members' personal and medical information,
13	Google acted with malice and oppression and in conscious disregard of Plaintiffs' and Class
14	Members' rights to have such information kept confidential and private.
15	117. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief on behalf of the Class, restitution, and all other
16	damages available under this cause of action.
17	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
18	California Constitution, Article 1, § 1
19	118. Plaintiffs bring this claim on behalf of themselves and the California Subclass.
20	119. Plaintiffs and California Subclass Members have a legally protected Constitutional
21	privacy interest in the personal and medical information that Google disclosed and shared without
22	authorization, under California Constitution, Article 1, Section 1.
23	120. Plaintiffs and California Subclass Members reasonably expected that their personal
24	and medical information would not be written to a location where it was not secure, and
25	reasonably expected that under no circumstances would the information be disclosed to
26	unauthorized parties for unauthorized use.
27	
28	

1 121. Google intruded upon Plaintiffs' and California Subclass Members' sensitive and 2 confidential information in a manner sufficiently serious in nature, scope, and actual or potential 3 impact to constitute an egregious breach of the social norms underlying the privacy right. 4 122. Google knew, or acted with reckless disregard of the fact that, a reasonable person in Plaintiffs' and Subclass Members' position would consider Google's actions highly offensive. 5 6 123. Google knew, or acted with reckless disregard of the fact that, a reasonable person 7 in Plaintiffs' and Subclass Members' position would consider Plaintiffs' and Subclass Members' 8 personal and medical information private and non-public, demonstrated by the widespread public 9 expectation, acknowledged and encouraged by Google, that the information would not be 10 disclosed. 11 124. As a proximate result of such unauthorized disclosures, Plaintiffs' and Subclass 12 Members' reasonable expectations of privacy in their personal and medical information was 13 unduly frustrated and thwarted. Google's conduct amounted to a serious invasion of Plaintiffs' 14 and Subclass Members' protected privacy interests. 15 125. In failing to protect Plaintiffs' and Subclass Members' personal and medical 16 information, and in disclosing Plaintiffs' and Subclass Members' personal and medical 17 information, Google acted with malice and oppression and in conscious disregard of Plaintiffs' 18 and Subclass Members' rights to have such information kept confidential and private. 19 FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 20 California Confidentiality of Medical Information Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 56 et seq. 21 126. Plaintiffs bring this claim on behalf of themselves and the California Subclass. 22 127. The California Confidentiality of Medical Information Act (CMIA) prohibits the 23 unauthorized disclosure of medical information; the unauthorized sharing and use of medical 24 information for purposes not necessary to provide healthcare services; the negligent maintenance 25 of medical information; and the negligent release of medical information. Cal. Civ. Code 26 §§ 56.10(a), 56.10(d), 56.10(e), 56.101(a), 56.36(b). 27 Google is subject to the requirements of the CMIA. Cal. Civ. Code §§ 56.10(a), 128. 28 (d), (e); 56.101(a); 56.26(a); 56.36(b).

1	129. Google is a "Provider of Health Care" under Cal. Civ. Code § 56.06(a)–(b),					
2	including because the GAEN endeavor was a business organized for the purpose of maintaining					
3	medical information in order to make the information available to an individual for management					
4	and/or for diagnosis of potential exposure to COVID-19, and because through GAEN, Google					
5	offers software designed to maintain information about whether a user has tested positive for					
6	COVID-19 and whether a user has been exposed to COVID-19, in order to make the information					
7	available to the user and to California public health authorities, at the request of the user and of					
8	California public health authorities, for the treatment and management of COVID-19.					
9	130. Plaintiffs and Subclass Members are "Patients" under Cal. Civ. Code § 56.05(k)					
10	because they are natural persons who received health care services, including without limitation					
11	COVID-19 exposure notifications and tracing, and to whom the medical information described					
12	herein pertains.					
13	131. The log files created by GAEN contain Plaintiffs' and Subclass Members'					
14	"Medical Information" under Cal. Civ. Code § 56.05(j) because they contain individually					
15	identifiable information about whether Plaintiffs and Subclass Members have tested positive for					
16	COVID-19 and about their exposure to COVID-19.					
17	132. Members of the Subclass entered their COVID-19 status into CA Notify, an App					
18	that uses GAEN. Google designed GAEN to write that information to the system logs of any					
19	such Subclass Member's Android mobile device.					
20	133. Members of the Subclass broadcast their RPIs and randomized MAC addresses to					
21	other Subclass Members who used Android devices, and their information was written to the					
22	Android device's system logs.					
23	134. The log files created by GAEN are the result of an affirmative communicative act					
24	by Google of software design with knowledge that Medical Information contained in the system					
25	log files would be communicated to third parties.					
26	135. In violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 56.10(a), Google disclosed Plaintiffs' and					
27	Subclass Members' personal and Medical Information without first obtaining authorization.					
28						
	24 COMPLAINT					

# Case 5:21-cv-03080 Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 27 of 29

1	136. In violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 56.10(d), Google intentionally shared and
2	otherwise used Plaintiffs' and Subclass Members' Medical Information for a purpose not
3	necessary to provide health care services to Plaintiffs or Subclass Members.
4	137. In violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 56.10(e), Google disclosed Plaintiffs' and
5	Subclass Members' Medical Information to persons or entities which were not engaged in
6	providing direct health care services to Plaintiffs, Subclass Members, their providers of health
7	care, health care service plans, insurers, or self-insured employers.
8	138. In violation of Cal. Civ. Code § 56.26(a), Google's implementation of GAEN
9	knowingly used, disclosed, and permitted its employees or agents to use or disclose Plaintiffs'
10	and Subclass Members' Medical Information in ways that were not reasonably necessary for
11	Google to perform the functions it provided, including because no aspect of GAEN's
12	functionality required that Plaintiffs' and Subclass Members' Medical Information be written to
13	system logs where they could be acquired by Google and other entities.
14	139. In violation of the first sentence of Cal. Civ. Code § 56.101(a), Google created,
15	maintained, preserved, and stored Plaintiffs' and Subclass Members' Medical Information in a
16	manner that failed to preserve and breached the confidentiality of the information, including by
17	permitting GAEN to write Medical Information to system log files.
18	140. Google's violation of the first sentence of Cal. Civ. Code § 56.101(a) was
19	negligent in violation of the second sentence of Cal. Civ. Code § 56.101(a) because Google failed
20	to adhere to best practices in the application development industry and failed to comply with the
21	assurances it made and endorsed with respect to the privacy and security of information stored
22	and transmitted by Apps that implemented GAEN.
23	141. Google's violations of Cal. Civ. Code § 56.101 caused Plaintiffs' and Subclass
24	Members' Medical Information to be viewed by unauthorized persons.
25	142. Google negligently released confidential information or records concerning
26	Plaintiffs and Subclass Members-that is, their Medical Information, and other personal
27	information associated with their Medical Information—under Cal. Civ. Code § 56.36(b) in
28	violation of the CMIA.
	25

1	1.42							
1	143. Google's violations of the CMIA caused Plaintiffs' and Subclass Members'							
2	Medical Inf	ormation to be viewed by unauthorized persons.						
3	144.	Google acted knowingly and willfully.						
4	145.	Google's violations of the CMIA injured Plaintiffs' and Subclass Members'						
5	privacy by disclosing their sensitive medical information.							
6	146.	Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief on behalf of the Subclass, restitution, statutory						
7	damages un	der Cal. Civ. Code § 56.36(b)(1), and all other damages available under this cause of						
8	action.							
9	IX. <u>PR</u> A	YER FOR RELIEF						
10	WH	EREFORE, Plaintiffs ask that the Court enter the following:						
11	А.	An order determining that this action may be maintained as a class action under						
12	Rule 23 of t	he Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that Plaintiffs are Class Representatives, that						
13	Plaintiffs' a	ttorneys shall be appointed as Class Counsel pursuant to Rule 23(g) of the Federal						
14	Rules of Ci	vil Procedure, and that Class notice be promptly issued;						
15	B.	Judgment against Google for Plaintiffs' and Class Members' asserted claims for						
16	relief;							
17	C.	Equitable and injunctive relief (1) enjoining Google from including from						
18	continuing	to copy Plaintiffs' and Class Members' personal and medical information to the						
19	system logs	on Android devices and from continuing to allow unauthorized parties access to						
20	Plaintiffs' a	nd Class Members' personal and medical information in the system logs, (2) requiring						
21	Google to e	nsure that all personal and medical information acquired, created, or otherwise						
22	obtained fro	om the system logs is destroyed, and (3) and as otherwise just and proper;						
23	D.	An order awarding Plaintiffs and the Class Members actual and/or statutory and/or						
24	special and	or incidental damages and restitution;						
25	E.	An order requiring Google to pay punitive damages and exemplary damages;						
26	F.	An order requiring Google to pay pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;						
27	G.	Reasonable attorney's fees and costs reasonably incurred; and						
28	H.	Any and all other and further relief to which Plaintiffs and the Class or Subclasses						
	2158440.1	- 26 - COMPL.AINT						

ĺ		Case 5:21-cv-03080	Document 1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 29	of 29				
1	may b	e entitled.						
2	Х.	DEMAND FOR JU	RY TRIAL					
3	Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury of all issues so triable.							
4								
5	Dated	: April 27, 2021	Respectfully Submitted,					
6			/s/ Michael W. Sobol					
7			Michael W. Sobol (SBN 194857) msobol@lchb.com					
8 9			Melissa Gardner (SBN 289096) mgardner@lchb.com	andina)				
10			Ian Bensberg (SBN <i>pro hac vice pe</i> ibensberg@lchb.com LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &	BERNSTEIN, LLP				
11			275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339					
12			Telephone: 415.956.1000 Facsimile: 415.956.1008					
13			Nicholas Diamand ( <i>pro hac vice pe</i> ndiamand@lchb.com	ending)				
14			Douglas Cuthbertson ( <i>pro hac vice</i> dcuthbertson@lchb.com	pending)				
15			LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & 250 Hudson Street, 8 <sup>th</sup> Floor	E BERNSTEIN, LLP				
16 17			New York, NY 10013 Telephone: 212.355.9500					
18			Facsimile: 212.355.9592					
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
	2158440.	1	- 27 -	COMPL.AINT				

#### JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 10/2020) Case 5:21-cv-03080 Document 1-1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 1 of 2 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENI	DANTS					
Dia	az, Jonathan; Bornmann, Lewis		Google LLC						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Alameda County, California (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c)	Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (	If Known)					
(see	e attachment)								
II.	BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		<b>FIZENSHIP</b> T Diversity Cases		INCII	PAL PA	<b>ARTIES</b> (Place an "X" in One Bo and One Box for Defend		aintiff
1	U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State		<b>PTF X</b> 1	<b>DEF</b>	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	PTF 4	$\frac{\mathbf{DEF}}{\mathbf{X}}$
2	U.S. Government Defendant ×4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		en of Another Stat	e	2	2	Incorporated <i>and</i> Principal Place of Business In Another State	5	5
	(aucure encenting of 1 arres in hem int)		en or Subject of a		3	3	Foreign Nation	6	6

#### IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY **OTHER STATUTES** 110 Insurance 625 Drug Related Seizure of 422 Appeal 28 USC § 158 375 False Claims Act PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY Property 21 USC § 881 120 Marine 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury - Product 690 Other \$ 157 § 3729(a)) 130 Miller Act Liability 315 Airplane Product Liability PROPERTY RIGHTS 400 State Reapportionment LABOR 140 Negotiable Instrument 367 Health Care/ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander Pharmaceutical Personal 410 Antitrust 150 Recovery of 330 Federal Employers' 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 820 Copyrights Injury Product Liability 430 Banks and Banking Overpayment Of Liability 720 Labor/Management 830 Patent 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Veteran's Benefits 450 Commerce 340 Marine Relations 835 Patent-Abbreviated New Product Liability 151 Medicare Act 460 Deportation 740 Railway Labor Act 345 Marine Product Liability Drug Application PERSONAL PROPERTY 152 Recovery of Defaulted 470 Racketeer Influenced & 350 Motor Vehicle 751 Family and Medical 840 Trademark Student Loans (Excludes 370 Other Fraud Corrupt Organizations 880 Defend Trade Secrets 355 Motor Vehicle Product Leave Act Veterans) 371 Truth in Lending 480 Consumer Credit Act of 2016 790 Other Labor Litigation Liability 153 Recovery of 380 Other Personal Property 485 Telephone Consumer ✗ 360 Other Personal Injury 791 Employee Retirement SOCIAL SECURITY Overpayment Damage Protection Act Income Security Act 362 Personal Injury -Medical of Veteran's Benefits 861 HIA (1395ff) 385 Property Damage Product 490 Cable/Sat TV Malpractice 160 Stockholders' Suits IMMIGRATION Liability 862 Black Lung (923) 850 Securities/Commodities/ 190 Other Contract 462 Naturalization 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS Exchange Application 195 Contract Product Liability 864 SSID Title XVI 890 Other Statutory Actions 440 Other Civil Rights HABEAS CORPUS 465 Other Immigration 196 Franchise 865 RSI (405(g)) 891 Agricultural Acts 441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee Actions REAL PROPERTY FEDERAL TAX SUITS 893 Environmental Matters 442 Employment 510 Motions to Vacate 895 Freedom of Information 210 Land Condemnation 443 Housing/ Sentence 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Act Defendant) Accommodations 530 General 220 Foreclosure 896 Arbitration 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 445 Amer. w/Disabilities-535 Death Penalty 899 Administrative Procedure Employment § 7609 240 Torts to Land OTHER Act/Review or Appeal of 446 Amer. w/Disabilities-Other 245 Tort Product Liability 540 Mandamus & Other Agency Decision 448 Education 290 All Other Real Property 550 Civil Rights 950 Constitutionality of State 555 Prison Condition Statutes 560 Civil Detainee-Conditions of Confinement **ORIGIN** (Place an "X" in One Box Only) V. Original Removed from Remanded from Multidistrict 8 Multidistrict $\mathbf{X}$ 1 2 3 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from 6 Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District (specify) Litigation-Transfer Litigation-Direct File Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): VI. CAUSE OF 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) ACTION Brief description of cause: Privacy violations under common law, California Confidentiality of Medical Information Act, and California Constitution VII. **REOUESTED IN** < CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P. JURY DEMAND: × Yes No **COMPLAINT:** VIII. RELATED CASE(S), JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER **IF ANY** (See instructions):

#### IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2) (Place an "X" in One Box Only) SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND

× SAN JOSE E

#### EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

/s/ Michael W. Sobol

I	Case 5:21-cv-03080 Document 1-	1 Filed 04/27/21 Page 2 of 2					
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
2	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
3	SAN J	OSE DIVISION					
4							
5							
6	JONATHAN DIAZ and LEWIS BORNMANN, on behalf of themselves	Case No.: 5:21-cv-3080					
7	and all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT					
8	Plaintiffs,	DEMAND FOD HIDY TRIAL					
9	V.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
10	GOOGLE LLC,						
11	Defendant.						
12	Defendant.						
13							
14	ATTACHMENT A ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS						
15	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP						
16	Michael W. Sobol (SBN 194857) msobol@lchb.com Melissa Gardner (SBN 289096) mgardner@lchb.com Ian R. Bensberg ibensberg@lchb.com						
17							
18							
19	275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339						
20	Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008						
21	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNS'	TEIN, LLP					
22	Nicholas Diamand ndiamand@lchb.com						
23	Douglas Cuthbertson dcuthbertson@lchb.com						
24	250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor New York, NY 10013						
25	Telephone: (212) 355-9500 Facsimile: (212) 355-9592						
26							
27							
28							